

# Performance of the WA105 LAr-proto (3x1x1 m<sup>3</sup>) dual-phase liquid argon Time Projection Chamber

---

## Abstract

Text of abstract.....

*Keywords:* Liquid Argon, dual-phase readout, TPC, LEM, Pure Argon

---

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Experimental Setup</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Detector commissioning</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Analysis with cosmic muon tracks</b>	<b>3</b>
4.1	Raw Data description . . . . .	3
4.2	Reconstruction methods . . . . .	3
4.3	Purity measurements with cosmic tracks . . . . .	3
4.4	Charge measurements and gain . . . . .	4
4.5	Drift field and space charge effects . . . . .	4
<b>5</b>	<b>Conclusions</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Acknowledgments</b>	<b>4</b>

## 1. Introduction

- Future precision measurements in neutrino oscillation and role of LAr TPC
- Principle of operation of Liquid Argon TPC
- Motivation and description of dual-phase readout technology
- Purpose of the 3x1x1 prototype
- Outline of the paper

Note: this paper should be able to provide an answer to some basic questions:

- How easy is to operate a dual-phase detector and which is its performance?
- How much dependent is the performance on the detector operative conditions ?
- How stable in time is the detector performance?
- How uniform are the performance and operative conditions of the LEM on a large detection surface ?
- How much is the performance dependent on the characteristics of single elements of the readout system and on their overall integration in a large detector ?

Some previous bibliography on the dual-phase performance: [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6].

## 2. Experimental Setup

The 3x1x1 detector description mainly relies on the technical paper. This section recalls just a few crucial aspects. A schematic description of the detector is provided.

Some subsections can be foreseen:

1. Detector main components and monitoring system of operating conditions (slow control measurements, purity monitors)
2. Front-end electronics and DAQ
3. Online storage and computing
4. Cosmic trigger counters

this section has to be fine tuned to avoid duplications with the technical paper

### 3. Detector commissioning

Description of the operative conditions:

This section aims to describing what has been done (and understood) between the time when the filling started and the time at which stable operative conditions were reached.

- Temperature and pressure evolution as a function of time (temperature gradients in gas and liquid)
- Stability of the liquid/gas surface, presence of bubbles
- Evolution of LAr purity conditions measured with purity monitors, light signals and at a certain point with tracks. Gas phase purity measurements

This first part has to be fine tuned with the contents of the technical paper (section 10). It can however contain some more detailed data than in the technical paper.

Thermodynamical characterization of a range of stable operative conditions of the detector in terms of gas pressure and temperature in the gas.

Data samples collected for a few stable operation points in this range of possible conditions will be then used in the next sections to characterize the LEM performance.

### 4. Analysis with cosmic muon tracks

#### 4.1. Raw Data description

Description of the trigger used to select cosmic tracks

Description of raw signals: event display pictures, to show "typical" waveforms for muon tracks and noise level

Pedestals: absolute values and difference among channels and their stability in time (correlation with data taking conditions)

Electronics calibration and stability (with preamplifiers and strips charge injection methods)

Signal to noise and its stability with time

#### 4.2. Reconstruction methods

Description of hit and track algorithms. It can be taken from the SPSC report (together with some plots)

Cosmic muons sample: some plots representatives of the muon tracks sample: angular distributions, space distribution, track lengths

#### 4.3. Purity measurements with cosmic tracks

Description of the analysis method used to perform the purity measurement with tracks

Results for both views, comparison with purity monitor results (for purity levels not yet saturating the purity monitor), and evolution of purity during the data taking and correlation with the detector conditions

Uniformity of purity conditions in the active volume

#### *4.4. Charge measurements and gain*

After having applied the lifetime corrections: distributions of energy losses per unit of length for cosmic tracks

Description of the method used to evaluate the LEM gain.

For each point in the range of possible stable operative conditions (P,T providing different gas density conditions):

- LEM gain and corresponding spark rate as a function of HV (HV scan)
- Extraction efficiency (should be saturated in all conditions)
- Dependence on liquid level in between the grid and LEM (CRP adjustment)

For the main operative conditions, long term studies with cosmic tracks addressing:

- Gain stability
- Gain uniformity over the 3x1 detection surface
- Anode response as a function of tracks angles with respect to strips pattern
- Correlation of gain with LEM geometry, thickness

#### *4.5. Drift field and space charge effects*

Performance studies with different drift fields

Study of space charge effects

### **5. Conclusions**

Conclusions ...

### **6. Acknowledgments**

.....

- [1] A. Badertscher, L. Knecht, M. Laffranchi, D. Lussi, A. Marchionni, G. Natterer, P. Otiougova, F. Resnati, A. Rubbia, T. Viant, Operation of a double-phase pure argon Large Electron Multiplier Time Projection Chamber: Comparison of single and double phase operation, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A617 (2010) 188–192. arXiv:0907.2944, doi:10.1016/j.nima.2009.10.011.
- [2] A. Badertscher, A. Curioni, L. Knecht, D. Lussi, A. Marchionni, G. Natterer, F. Resnati, A. Rubbia, T. Viant, First operation of a double phase LAr Large Electron Multiplier Time Projection Chamber with a two-dimensional projective readout anode, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A641 (2011) 48–57. arXiv:1012.0483, doi:10.1016/j.nima.2011.02.100.
- [3] A. Badertscher, et al., First operation and drift field performance of a large area double phase LAr Electron Multiplier Time Projection Chamber with an immersed Greinacher high-voltage multiplier, JINST 7 (2012) P08026. arXiv:1204.3530, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/7/08/P08026.
- [4] A. Badertscher, et al., First operation and performance of a 200 lt double phase LAr LEM-TPC with a  $40 \times 76$  cm<sup>2</sup> readout, JINST 8 (2013) P04012. arXiv:1301.4817, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/8/04/P04012.
- [5] C. Cantini, et al., Long-term operation of a double phase LAr LEM Time Projection Chamber with a simplified anode and extraction-grid design, JINST 9 (2014) P03017. arXiv:1312.6487, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/9/03/P03017.
- [6] C. Cantini, et al., Performance study of the effective gain of the double phase liquid Argon LEM Time Projection Chamber, JINST 10 (03) (2015) P03017. arXiv:1412.4402, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/10/03/P03017.